Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/052,	,703	KANG ET AL.		
LXaiiiiiei-iiiilialeu iiilei view Suiiiiii	Examir	ner	Art Unit	<del></del>	
	Rudy Z	ervigon.	1763		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Final</u>				
(1) Rudy Zervigon.	(3)	(3) <u>Hoson Lee</u> .			
(2) Allan McCullum.	(4)	(4)			
Date of Interview: 29 September 2006	Time	: <u>16:00</u>			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: .	] Applicant's repr	esentative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: None					
Claims discussed:					
2-8,11-19,21-27,32-37 and 41					
Prior art documents discussed:  Kinnard; David W. et al. (US 6,635,117 B1)					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NAT	TURE OF WHAT WAS	3 DISCUSSED:		
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. The examin	er will provide a writte the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since th	substance	
				<del></del>	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applican	nt's Representative Si	gnature – if approp	oriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I called Mr. McCullum to request an Examiner's amendmentr bring the limitations of numerous dependent claims into independent form. Mr. McCullum said he would be out of the office in the following days and allow Mr. Lee to replace him. Mr. Lee said he would try to get an answer from the over seas client as soon as possible. As of 13:46 on 10/2, no response from either attorney is recorded..